



## IV Group Collection of Steel Cans

### 3. How Do Municipalities Participate in Group Collection?

#### Participating Conditions of Municipalities toward Group Collection (multiple answers)

As the supporting measure by municipalities, 94.3% of them granted are financial incentives for practicing groups, followed by PR (46.2%), and subsidies to collecting companies (27.5%). The trend is the almost same as that of last year, but the ratio of coordination is increasing a little.

	FY2010		FY2009		FY2008	
	Wards and cities	rate (%)	Wards and cities	rate (%)	Wards and cities	rate (%)
Provide Grants	529	94.3	537	92.9	553	93.3
PR	259	46.2	251	43.4	242	40.8
Provide Subsidies	154	27.5	160	27.7	166	28.0
Appeal to groups	125	22.3	126	21.8	125	21.1
Provide equipments	60	10.7	57	9.9	58	9.8
Coordination	46	8.2	45	7.8	47	7.9
Others	13	2.3	15	2.6	18	3.0
Unknown	12	2.1	20	3.5	22	3.7
<b>Total</b>	<b>561</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>578</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>593</b>	<b>100.0</b>

#### Participating Conditions of Municipalities toward Group Collection in FY2010 (multiple answers)

Granting financial incentives to groups are widely performed in nationwide, and more than 90% of municipalities have been performed except Hokkaido, Hokuriku and Shikoku. On the other side, the subsidies to the collecting companies are relatively high in East Japan. Other than the financial incentives, there are various measures relatively granted in Tohoku, Kanto and Kinki regions.

	Provide Grants		Provide Subsidies		PR		Appeal to groups		Coordination		Provide equipments		Others	
	Wards and cities	rate (%)	Wards and cities	rate (%)	Wards and cities	rate (%)	Wards and cities	rate (%)	Wards and cities	rate (%)	Wards and cities	rate (%)	Wards and cities	rate (%)
Hokkaido (30)	24	80.0	11	36.7	16	53.3	8	26.7	1	3.3	4	13.3	1	3.3
Tohoku (38)	38	100.0	17	44.7	25	65.8	11	28.9	4	10.5	4	10.5	1	2.6
Kanto (153)	151	98.7	67	43.8	82	53.6	50	32.7	20	13.1	37	24.2	3	2.0
Hokuriku (32)	28	87.5	11	34.4	8	25.0	5	15.6	2	6.3	2	6.3	1	3.1
Chubu (98)	94	95.9	10	10.2	39	39.8	17	17.3	5	5.1	3	3.1	3	3.1
Kinki (91)	82	90.1	15	16.5	49	53.8	22	24.2	10	11.0	5	5.5	1	1.1
Chugoku (40)	38	95.0	9	22.5	11	27.5	2	5.0	1	2.5	0	0.0	2	5.0
Shikoku (17)	14	82.4	3	17.6	5	29.4	4	23.5	1	5.9	1	5.9	0	0.0
Kyushu/Okinawa (62)	60	96.8	11	17.7	24	38.7	6	9.7	2	3.2	4	6.5	1	1.6

Note. Inside ( ) indicates the number of municipalities in each district, and the ratio shows the percentage of municipalities performing each measure.

#### Plans for the Future

31.0% of municipalities answered that they are willing to expand programs. It shows they are focusing on group collection. However, about half of municipalities is in status quo, it means they consider current measures are capable of recycling.

	FY2010		FY2009		FY2008	
	Wards and cities	rate (%)	Wards and cities	rate (%)	Wards and cities	rate (%)
Expand programs	218	31.0	201	28.3	203	27.6
Cut down supports	15	2.1	24	3.4	31	4.2
Just provide support	35	5.0	34	4.8	28	3.8
Status quo	333	47.3	360	50.7	367	49.9
No idea	86	12.2	79	11.1	84	11.4
Others	17	2.4	12	1.7	14	1.9
Unknown	—	—	—	—	8	1.1
<b>Total</b>	<b>704</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>710</b>	<b>100.0</b>	<b>735</b>	<b>100.0</b>

